

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/551,797	09/29/2005	Rajesh Jain	U 015961-3	4219	
140 LADAS & PA	7590 02/14/2007 RRY		EXAMINER		
26 WEST 61S'			MCCORMICK, MELENIE LEE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10023				
			1655		
CHORTENED CTATHEOL	NA BEBIOD OF BEGDONAL		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		02/14/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,797	JAIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melenie McCormick	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the company o	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-81</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>68-81</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected.	ccepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	Stage
American antico		•	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 02/2006 . nformal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment with remarks filed on 11/21/2006 has been received and considered.

Applicants have cancelled claims 1-67 and added new claims 68-81.

Claims 68-81 are presented for examination on the merits.

Claim Objections

Claim 73 is objected to because of the following informality: the word "on" in line 2 appears to be misspelled. It is suggested that "on" be omitted and replaced with "of".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 80 is objected to because of the following informality: the word "an" needs to be added directly after the word "of" in line 1 in order for the claim to read properly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Applicant's amendment and arguments are deemed persuasive and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

New claims 68-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1655

Claim 73 recites the limitation "astringent" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 72, from which this claim depends recited "astringents" in line 2. It is suggested that claim 72 be amended to also recite "astringents".

In addition, claims 74-77, which also depend from claim 72, recite "anesthetic", "protectant", "healing agent", and kerolytic", respectively. In order to maintain proper dependency, it is suggested that each of these terms be amended to their respective plural form.

Claim 79 recites the limitation "the dry course powder" in step c. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested that in claim 79, step b. be amended to recite a "course powder".

Claim 79 is considered vague and indefinite because it is not clear which portions of step f are optional. It is suggested that in order to better define the optional portions of step f in claim 79, that "and" be inserted after "organic solvent" in step f.

Claims 68-81 are deemed vague and indefinite due to the phrase "containing flavonoids and phenolic compounds" (see e.g. claim 68, lines 2-3) for the reasons set forth in the previous office action and restated below.

As previously stated, it is still not clear from the language of the claims, if the instantly recited "extract of the plant *Euphorbia prostata*" "containing flavonoids and phenolic compounds" (see e.g. claim 68, lines 2-3) naturally contains these flavonoids and phenolic compounds or if they are added to the extract.

Art Unit: 1655

Applicants argue that the cancellation of the old claims 1-67 and addition of new claims 68-81 renders the rejections under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph moot, however, this is not deemed persuasive because the claim language has not been amended and the rejection has not been addressed.

All other claims depend directly or indirectly from rejected claims and are, therefore, also rejected under USC 112, second paragraph for the reasons set forth above.

Double Patenting

Applicant's arguments are deemed persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments are deemed persuasive.

It is suggested, that to better define the instantly claimed anorectal or colonic diseases or conditions as recited in claims 68 and 80, that the limitations of claims 69 be added to claim 68 and that the limitations of claim 81 be added to claim 80.

It is also suggested that in order to better define the medium polarity organic solvent recited in claim 79 at step f, that the claim be amended such that the medium polarity solvent is selected from the group consisting of those recited on page 14 of the instant specification.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,797 Page 6

Art Unit: 1655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melenie McCormick whose telephone number is (571) 272-8037. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CHRISTOPHER R. TATE PRIMARY EXAMINER